

# Life Member Scoring Rubric

Name of Life Member Nominee:

Name of Board Member Scoring:

Rate the nominee in the areas below using the following ratings:

1. **Engagement** – The nominee’s work experience, including length of service in the field, organizations they have served, and positions held. Rating and comments should reflect exceptional service and dedication to the ASRT and the profession through sustained, lifelong contributions to the profession, education, administration, research, economics, or literature.

**UNSATISFACTORY:** Engagement does not demonstrate a consistent and long-term pattern of involvement in professional activities.

**SUBSTANTIAL:** Descriptions relay performance demonstrated by a consistent and long-term pattern of involvement in professional activities.

**EXCEPTIONAL:** Descriptions comprehensively relay performance that reflects exceptional service and dedication to the ASRT and the profession in both quality and quantity and is demonstrated by impactful involvement, leadership (whether by formal title or in de facto capacity) and creative involvement in professional activities.

Unsatisfactory	Substantial	Exceptional

Comments:

2. **Performance** – The nominee has demonstrated sustained and superior leadership that has positively affected the health care or community and culture of the United States via meaningful contributions to the ASRT and the medical imaging or radiation therapy profession. This can be demonstrated by remarkable contributions to the ASRT and the medical imaging or radiation therapy profession. This ideally would include contributions to all three: employer, committees, and organizations.

**UNSATISFACTORY:** Performance does not demonstrate meaningful contributions to the ASRT and the medical imaging or radiation therapy profession. Or descriptions do not relay how these contributions demonstrate remarkable contributions to the ASRT and the medical imaging or radiation therapy profession.

**SUBSTANTIAL:** Descriptions relay sustained and substantial performance in demonstrated leadership that has affected the health care or community and culture of the United States via meaningful contributions to the ASRT and the medical imaging or radiation therapy profession. Must include at least **one** level: employer, committees, or organizations.

**EXCEPTIONAL:** Descriptions comprehensively relay sustained and superior performance in demonstrated leadership that has affected the health care or community and culture of the United States via meaningful contributions to the ASRT and the medical imaging or radiation therapy profession. Must include at least two levels: employer, committees, or organizations

<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>Substantial</b>	<b>Exceptional</b>

Comments:

3. **Impact** – The nominee has demonstrated sustained superior, meaningful and impactful to the ASRT and the profession. Descriptions clearly relay how these contributions impacted the profession and others and how the achievements have enduring value to ASRT and the profession. Describes how any meaningful changes in the profession or workplace were a result of their actions, behaviors, or work. Contributions via International service can be included in this section. However, service should have had a positive impact on the medical imaging or radiation therapy professions in the US.

**UNSATISFACTORY:** Lack of sustained superior, meaningful, and impactful contributions to the ASRT and the profession. Or descriptions do not relay how these contributions impacted the profession and others and how the achievements have enduring value to ASRT and the profession.

**SUBSTANTIAL:** Contributions to the ASRT and the profession are demonstrated in at least two categories (sustained superior, meaningful, and impactful). Descriptions relay how these contributions impacted the profession and others and how the achievements have enduring value to ASRT and the profession.

**EXCEPTIONAL:** Contributions to the ASRT and the profession are demonstrated in at least two categories (sustained superior, meaningful, and impactful) to the ASRT and the profession. Descriptions comprehensively relay how these contributions impacted the profession and others and how the achievements have enduring value to ASRT and the profession.

<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>Substantial</b>	<b>Exceptional</b>

Comments:

**Letters of Support:** Includes one letter from the nominator and two letters from other supporters.

The letter of support is informative and speaks positively to the nominee’s impact on the ASRT and the profession. Letter clearly explains the connection with the nominee, including how long the author has known the nominee and in what capacity. Letter clearly addresses each of the three categories:

- **Engagement:** The description should reflect the nominee’s exceptional service and dedication to ASRT and the profession through sustained, lifelong contributions to the profession, education, administration, research, economics, or literature.
- **Performance:** The description should explain their demonstrated leadership that has affected the health care or community and culture of the United States via meaningful contributions to the ASRT and the medical imaging or radiation therapy profession.
- **Impact:** The description should explain how the achievements have enduring value to ASRT and the profession. Describes how any meaningful changes in the profession or workplace were a result of their actions, behaviors, or work.

**Letter of Support from Nominator –**

Author’s Name:

Rating:

Unsatisfactory	Substantial	Exceptional

Comments:

**Additional Letter of Support #1 –**

Author's Name:

--

Rating:

<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>Substantial</b>	<b>Exceptional</b>

Comments:

--

**Additional Letter of Support #2 –**

Author's Name:

--

Rating:

<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>Substantial</b>	<b>Exceptional</b>

Comments:

--

**Rating Total** – Add the ratings from each area above and enter the totals below. Nominee must have at least three exceptional rankings and no unsatisfactory rankings from above. A nominee with an unsatisfactory ranking will not be considered for Life Membership.

<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>Substantial</b>	<b>Exceptional</b>

Comments:

---

**Life Membership Nomination Recommendation** – Add a check mark below that corresponds with your recommendation for elevation or non-elevation to Life Membership. If there is any doubt of such a level being achieved, or lack of information about the nominee that makes such a conclusion impossible, then Committee members should consider voting in the negative.

**Award Life Membership Elevation**

**Do Not Award Life Membership Elevation.**