

Effective June ~~30~~²⁸, 202~~4~~⁶

Commented [COM1]: If adopted.



ASRT Position Statements

Effective June ~~30~~²⁸, 202~~4~~⁶

Commented [COM2]: If adopted.

Contents

Collective Bargaining Units	1
Conjoint Evaluation of Educational Programs	1
Degree Requirements for Medical Imaging and Radiation Therapy Program Directors and Clinical Coordinators	1
Documentation of Digital Images to Maintain the Patient Dose Record	1
Entry Level of Education for Radiation Therapists	2
Federal Minimum Standards for Medical Imaging and Radiation Therapy	2
Level of Education for the Medical Imaging and Radiation Therapy Profession	2
Majority Representation on State Radiologic Technologist Licensure or Regulatory Boards and Committees	2
Opposition to Employment of Uncertified or Unlicensed Individuals	2
Opposition to Institutional Licensure of Radiologic Technologists	3
Opposition to Medical Imaging and Radiation Therapy Professionals Supervising or Training Unlicensed or Uncertified Individuals.....	3
Opposition to Use of Fluoroscopy for Positioning	3
Opposition to Remote Medical Imaging without a Radiologic Technologist.....	3
Opposition to Use of Full-body Computed Tomography Screening.....	3
Opposition to Use of Medical Imaging and Radiation Therapy Equipment for Nonmedical Purposes.....	3
Professional Programmatic Peer Review	4
Public Health Statements.....	4
Staffing for Radiation Therapy Treatment Delivery	4
State Agency Recognition of Joint Review Committees.....	4
State Licensure Examinations by Certification Agencies Recognized by the ASRT.....	4
Three-Dimensional Modeling and Printing in Medical Imaging and Radiation Therapy	4
<u>Final Interpretation of Medical Imaging.....</u>	<u>5</u>

Commented [COM3]: Deleted if adopted.

Motion C-26.02

Commented [COM4]: Inserted if adopted.

Motion C-26.03

ASRT Position Statements

Introduction

ASRT position statements reflect the beliefs or standing of the American Society of Radiologic Technologists. In reviewing these position statements, one must take into account existing state statutes and institutional policy.

Position Statements

Collective Bargaining Units

It is the position of the American Society of Radiologic Technologists that the Society not serve as a collective bargaining unit.

Amended, Resolution, 06-3.09, 2006
Amended, Main Motion, C-09.57, 2009

Conjoint Evaluation of Educational Programs

It is the position of the American Society of Radiologic Technologists that, in states where state agencies approve medical imaging and radiation therapy educational programs, evaluation of such programs be conducted jointly by the state agency and the applicable Joint Review Committee(s) or equivalent.

Amended, Main Motion, C-08.06, 2008
Amended, Main Motion, C-09.36, 2009
Amended, Main Motion, C-17.11, 2017

Degree Requirements for Medical Imaging and Radiation Therapy Program Directors and Clinical Coordinators

It is the position of the American Society of Radiologic Technologists that medical imaging and radiation therapy program directors hold a minimum of a master's degree and that clinical coordinators hold a minimum of a baccalaureate degree.

Adopted, Resolution, 98-2.02, 1998
Amended, Resolution, 06-2.03, 2006
Amended, Main Motion, C-09.37, 2009
Amended, Main Motion, C-14.13, 2014

Documentation of Digital Images to Maintain the Patient Dose Record

It is the position of the American Society of Radiologic Technologists that all digital radiographic images acquired upon the order of a licensed practitioner for use in diagnosis or guidance be submitted for interpretation, documented or archived as part of the patient's medical image and radiation dose record.

Adopted, Main Motion, C-16.17, 2016

Entry Level of Education for Radiation Therapists

It is the position of the American Society of Radiologic Technologists that the baccalaureate degree is the entry level for radiation therapists.

Adopted, Resolution, C-07.10, 2007
Amended, Main Motion, C-09.39, 2009

Federal Minimum Standards for Medical Imaging and Radiation Therapy

It is the position of the American Society of Radiologic Technologists that the U.S. Congress should enact federal minimum standards of education and certification for all individuals performing medical imaging, or planning and/or delivering radiation therapy. Such standards should be, at the minimum, equivalent to those established for educational accreditation by the Joint Review Committees or equivalent and certification by certification agencies recognized by the ASRT.

Amended, Resolution, 95-2.08, 1995
Amended, Resolution, 06-2.06, 2006
Amended, Main Motion, C-09.44, 2009
Amended, Main Motion, C-16.20, 2016

Level of Education for the Medical Imaging and Radiation Therapy Profession

It is the position of the American Society of Radiologic Technologists that the baccalaureate degree is the professional level of medical imaging and radiation therapy education if it contains related upper division coursework.

Adopted, Resolution, 94-2.04, 1994
Amended, Resolution, 95-2.05, 1995
Amended, Resolution, 06-2.05, 2007
Amended, Main Motion, C-09.41, 2009
Amended, Main Motion, C-14.14, 2014

Majority Representation on State Radiologic Technologist Licensure or Regulatory Boards and Committees

It is the position of the American Society of Radiologic Technologists that the majority of members appointed or designated to serve on state radiologic technologist licensure or regulatory boards and committees be practicing registered medical imaging or radiation therapy professionals, as outlined by the ASRT Practice Standards, with expertise in the disciplines licensed or regulated by that entity.

Adopted, Resolution, C-07.05, 2007
Amended, Main Motion, C-09.46, 2009
Amended, Main Motion, C-16.21, 2016
Amended, Main Motion, C-17.14, 2017

Opposition to Employment of Uncertified or Unlicensed Individuals

The American Society of Radiologic Technologists opposes the employment or utilization of uncertified or unlicensed individuals to perform medical imaging and radiation therapy procedures. This is a breach of responsibility of the health care industry in providing quality patient care.

Adopted, Resolution, 93-3.01, 1993
Amended, Resolution, 94-1.21, 1994
Amended, Resolution, 06-1.04, 2006
Amended, Main Motion, C-09.81, 2009
Amended, Main Motion, C-19.03, 2019

Opposition to Institutional Licensure of Radiologic Technologists

The American Society of Radiologic Technologists opposes institutional licensure of radiologic technologists.

Amended, Resolution, 06-1.03, 2006
Amended, Main Motion, C-09.45, 2009

Opposition to Medical Imaging and Radiation Therapy Professionals Supervising or Training Unlicensed or Uncertified Individuals

The American Society of Radiologic Technologists opposes medical imaging or radiation therapy professionals being required to supervise or train individuals in the delivery of medical imaging or radiation therapy procedures unless those individuals being trained are educationally prepared as stated in the ASRT Practice Standards.

Adopted, Resolution, 02-3.01, 2002
Amended, Main Motion, C-08.15, 2008
Amended, Main Motion, C-09.49, 2009
Amended, Main Motion, C-16.22, 2016
Amended, Main Motion, C-17.16, 2017

Opposition to Use of Fluoroscopy for Positioning

The American Society of Radiologic Technologists opposes the use of fluoroscopy to ensure proper positioning for radiography prior to making an exposure. This is unethical, increases patient dose and should never be used in place of appropriate skills required of the competent radiologic technologist.

Adopted, Resolution, 06-3.14, 2006
Amended, Main Motion, C-09.55, 2009

Opposition to Remote Medical Imaging without a Radiologic Technologist

~~The American Society of Radiologic Technologists opposes remote medical imaging of patients unless a radiologic technologist who is educationally prepared and clinically competent for the specific imaging modality is physically present to provide patient care and maintain safety of the environment where the procedure is being performed by a remote operator.~~

~~Adopted, Main Motion, C-23.02, 2023~~

Opposition to Use of Full-body Computed Tomography Screening

The American Society of Radiologic Technologists opposes the use of full-body computed tomography as a screening tool.

Adopted, Resolution, 02-3.08, 2002
Amended, Main Motion, C-08.42, 2008
Amended, Main Motion, C-09.80, 2009

Opposition to Use of Medical Imaging and Radiation Therapy Equipment for Nonmedical Purposes

The American Society of Radiologic Technologists opposes the use of all medical imaging and radiation therapy equipment to produce images on live humans for nonmedical entrepreneurial application or entertainment contrary to the tenets of ethical medical practice.

Adopted, Resolution, 05-3.01, 2005
Amended, Main Motion, C-08.41, 2008
Amended, Main Motion, C-09.75, 2009

Commented [COM5]: Main Motion C-26.02

Strike

Table of Contents will also be updated, as needed.

Rationale: Advances in imaging technology, safety systems, and remote-operations workflows may now allow certain imaging procedures to be performed safely with the imaging console operated remotely by a registered technologist, while maintaining the requirement that a registered technologist or credentialed imaging assistant, as applicable, remains physically present with the patient throughout the procedure. The current statement's blanket opposition does not account for these developments, limits ASRT's ability to guide emerging regulatory frameworks, and may unintentionally restrict access to imaging services in underserved areas. Additionally, Position Statements are intended to address issues outside the defined scope of practice. Remote imaging operations are now explicitly recognized within the ASRT Practice Standards. Thus, the topic is no longer suited as a Position Statement. Rescinding the statement enables ASRT to develop updated, discipline-specific, evidence-based guidance that better supports patient safety, workforce realities, and evolving practice models.

Amended, Main Motion, C-15.01, 2015

Professional Programmatic Peer Review

The American Society of Radiologic Technologists supports professional programmatic peer review for all medical imaging and radiation therapy educational programs.

Adopted, Main Motion, C-11.34, 2011

Public Health Statements

It is the position of the American Society of Radiologic Technologists that the Society release position statements on public health issues to increase public awareness of the diverse contributions in health care by the members of the ASRT.

Adopted, Resolution, 92-1.07, 1992
Amended, Resolution, 94-1.23, 1994
Amended, Main Motion, C-09.34, 2009

Staffing for Radiation Therapy Treatment Delivery

It is the position of the American Society of Radiologic Technologists that two registered radiation therapists per patient per treatment unit is the minimum standard for safe and efficient delivery of radiation therapy.

Adopted, Resolution, 98-3.04, 1998
Amended, Main Motion, C-08.44, 2008

State Agency Recognition of Joint Review Committees

It is the position of the American Society of Radiologic Technologists that state agencies accept accreditation of medical imaging and radiation therapy educational programs by Joint Review Committees or equivalent to meet state standards.

Adopted, Main Motion, C-09.03, 2009
Amended, Main Motion, C-14.11, 2014

State Licensure Examinations by Certification Agencies Recognized by the ASRT

It is the position of the American Society of Radiologic Technologists that state agencies should contract with certification agencies recognized by the ASRT to administer state licensure examinations.

Amended, Main Motion, C-08.14, 2008
Amended, Main Motion, C-09.48, 2009
Amended, Main Motion, C-16.23, 2016

Three-Dimensional Modeling and Printing in Medical Imaging and Radiation Therapy

It is the position of the American Society of Radiologic Technologists that it is within the scope of practice for medical imaging and radiation therapy professionals, as outlined by the ASRT Practice Standards, who are educationally prepared and clinically competent to postprocess, reconstruct and create or print three-dimensional models from medical imaging or radiation therapy data.

Adopted, Main Motion, C-16.25, 2016
Amended, Main Motion, C-17.18, 2017

Final Interpretation of Medical Imaging

It is the position of the American Society of Radiologic Technologists that the final interpretation of medical imaging procedures is to be performed only by a licensed physician.

(status here), Main Motion, C-26.XX, 2026

Commented [BOD6]: Main Motion C-26.03

Insert

[Table of Contents will also be updated, as needed.]

Rationale: In accordance with the ASRT Practice Standards, the ASRT Board of Directors refers to our long-upheld commitment to patient care through our defined scope of practice. Our ASRT Practice Standards do not support Radiologic Technologists performing image interpretation. The ASRT Board of Directors identified and confirmed the need to address this position due to suggested deviations from this standard. This position is aligned with the 2025 ACR resolution to collaborate with other organizations to support the integrity of our service line and its respective clinical practices.