

**American Society of Radiologic Technologists
Commission Report
2026**

The 2025-2026 Commission met virtually on Jan. 26 and Feb. 9, 16 and 23, 2026. In addition, the Commission met via virtual conference on March 5, 2026. The Commission members who participated in the meetings were:

- Rodney C. Fisher, Ph.D., R.T.(R)(N)(CT)(BD), CNMT, Chair
- Susan Wertz, R.R.A., R.P.A., R.T.(R)(CV)(M)
- Catherine Cooper, Ph.D., R.T.(R)(CT)(BD)
- Scott Haglund, M.A.Ed., R.T.(R)
- Jen Smith, M.S., R.T.(R)(M)(CT)
- Maria Dimopoulos, Ph.D., R.T.(T)
- Leah Wuebben, M.B.A., R.T.(R)(MR)(CT)

The Commission received one main motion, which was received by the first business day of February. Main motions can be assigned to the Commission, ASRT Board of Directors or the Committee on Bylaws. The main motion from the California affiliate was referred to the Committee on Bylaws. Additionally, one motion concerning a new Position Statement was submitted by the ASRT Board of Directors.

The Commission used an online survey tool for their work, which offered members the opportunity to provide feedback on the ASRT Position Statements and the Practice Standards Council motion C-26.01 prior to making recommendations during the virtual meetings.

The consent calendar includes:

| | |
|----------------|---|
| Motion C-26.01 | Adoption of the amended ASRT Practice Standards for Medical Imaging and Radiation Therapy, including the amendments from the Commission |
| Motion C-26.02 | Rescission of the Position Statement titled <i>Opposition to Remote Medical Imaging without a Radiologic Technologist</i> |
| Motion C-26.03 | Adoption of the Position Statement titled <i>Final Interpretation of Medical Imaging</i> |

This document is accepted as written.



Rodney C. Fisher, Ph.D., R.T.(R)(N)(CT)(BD), CNMT, Chair

**Practice Standards Council
Motions
2026 House of Delegates**

The current Practice Standards can be located at:

<https://www.asrt.org/main/standards-and-regulations/professional-practice/practice-standards>

The Practice Standards document with proposed language is located at:

<https://www.asrt.org/main/standards-and-regulations/professional-practice/proposed-changes>

The current Position Statements can be located at: <https://www.asrt.org/main/standards-and-regulations/professional-practice/position-statements>

Main Motion C-26.01

Amend the ASRT Practice Standards for Medical Imaging and Radiation Therapy.

The 2025-2026 Practice Standards Council moves that the proposed revisions to the Practice Standards for Medical Imaging and Radiation Therapy previously noticed to the delegates be adopted. The Commission recommends adoption with its amendments.

Current Wording: The current document is located at:

<https://www.asrt.org/main/standards-and-regulations/professional-practice/practice-standards>

Proposed Wording: The document with proposed language is located at:

<https://www.asrt.org/main/standards-and-regulations/professional-practice/proposed-changes>

Rationale:

In keeping with its charges, the 2025-2026 Practice Standards Council addressed the Practice Standards for current practice trends, emerging technologies, and language and format consistency. The subcommittees scheduled for this review cycle were Cardiac Interventional/Vascular Interventional, Magnetic Resonance, Medical Dosimetry and Radiologist Assistant. Collectively, the PSC and the above-mentioned subcommittees have recommended revisions for consideration. The proposed revisions can be reviewed in the following sections of the Practice Standards: Preface, Definition, Education and Certification, Scope of Practice, Standards 1 through 11, Advisory Opinion Statements and Glossary.

Conforming Amendments:

Within this motion, all instances of identical text being revised in multiple places are to be treated as conforming amendments. Examples of this are:

- Removal of periods at the end of bullet points that are not complete sentences
- Revising the word “examination” to “exam” when referring to a test such as an American Registry of Radiologic Technologists exam
- Slashes replaced with hyphens for all applicable hybrid procedures
- Deletion of the first paragraph of the Education & Certification section for each discipline, to eliminate redundancy with the Introduction for this section of the document

Commission Amendment # 1

Standard Three

PSC proposed language:

Medical Dosimetry

- Explains the role and function of the medical dosimetrist in the overall treatment course.
- Provides information and instruction on the daily maintenance of treatment field markings and instruction on self-care procedures.
- Reviews the treatment plan with the patient as requested by a radiation oncologist

Commission proposed language:

Medical Dosimetry

- Explains the role and function of the medical dosimetrist in the overall treatment course.
- ~~Provides information and instruction on the daily maintenance of treatment field markings and instruction on self-care procedures.~~
- Reviews the treatment plan with the patient as requested by a radiation oncologist

Rationale: No supporting documentation.

Commission Amendment #2

Standard Four: *General Criteria*

Current Language:

Administers contrast media and other medications only when a licensed practitioner or radiologist assistant is physically present to ensure proper diagnosis and treatment of adverse events.*†

Commission proposed language:

- Administers contrast media and other medications **only** when a licensed practitioner ~~or radiologist assistant~~ is physically present to ensure proper diagnosis and treatment of adverse events.*†
- Administers contrast media and other medications under the virtual supervision of a licensed practitioner, only when there are at least two onsite personnel, at least one of whom has completed formal, nationally recognized and accredited education to recognize, manage and treat adverse reactions either independently or under the virtual direction of the licensed practitioner.*†

Rationale: Limits statement to onsite supervision by a licensed practitioner. Aligns with the most recent statement from the American College of Radiology. Ensures appropriate level of virtual and onsite supervision during administration of contrast media and other medications.

The Commission recommends adoption of Motion C-26.01 with the proposed amendments.

Your Delegate Vote

YES

NO

**House of Delegates
Vote**

YES

NO

Comments

Main Motion C-26.02

Motion C-26.02 is moved for adoption by the Commission for discussion and voting by the House of Delegates.

Motion C-26.02

The Commission moves to rescind the Position Statement titled ***Opposition to Remote Medical Imaging without a Radiologic Technologist***.

The American Society of Radiologic Technologists opposes remote medical imaging of patients unless a radiologic technologist who is educationally prepared and clinically competent for the specific imaging modality is physically present to provide patient care and maintain safety of the environment where the procedure is being performed by a remote operator.

Rationale:

Advances in imaging technology, safety systems and remote-operations workflows may now allow certain imaging procedures to be performed safely with the imaging console operated remotely by a registered technologist, while maintaining the requirement that a registered technologist or credentialed imaging assistant, as applicable, remains physically present with the patient throughout the procedure. The current statement's blanket opposition does not account for these developments, limits ASRT's ability to guide emerging regulatory frameworks and may unintentionally restrict access to imaging services in underserved areas. Additionally, Position Statements are intended to address issues outside the defined scope of practice. Remote imaging operations are now explicitly recognized within the ASRT Practice Standards. Thus, the topic is no longer suited as a Position Statement. Rescinding the statement enables ASRT to develop updated, discipline-specific, evidence-based guidance that better supports patient safety, workforce realities and evolving practice models.

The Commission moves for adoption of Motion C-26.02.

Your Delegate Vote

YES

NO

**House of Delegates
Vote**

YES

NO

Comments

Main Motion C-26.03

The ASRT Board of Directors moves to adopt the Position Statement titled *Final Interpretation of Medical Imaging*.

It is the position of the American Society of Radiologic Technologists that the final interpretation of medical imaging procedures is to be performed only by a licensed physician.

Rationale: In accordance with the ASRT Practice Standards, the ASRT Board of Directors refers to our long-upheld commitment to patient care through our defined scope of practice. Our ASRT Practice Standards do not support radiologic technologists performing image interpretation. The ASRT Board of Directors identified and confirmed the need to address this position due to suggested deviations from this standard. This position is aligned with the 2025 American College of Radiology resolution to collaborate with other organizations to support the integrity of our service line and its respective clinical practices.

The Commission recommends adoption of Motion C-26.03.

| Your Delegate Vote | YES | NO | House of Delegates Vote | YES | NO |
|---------------------------|------------|-----------|--------------------------------|------------|-----------|
| Comments | | | | | |

Notification of Editorial Changes: Minor editorial changes were noted and referred to the appropriate staff members. These editorial changes are shown below and will not be presented for an individual vote but are being reported to you as edits that do not change the intent. All applicable ASRT documents will also be updated to reflect these changes. For your review, the editorial changes are reflected in yellow highlights and labeled as editorial revisions.

Proposed Wording: The document with proposed language is located at:
<https://www.asrt.org/main/standards-and-regulations/professional-practice/proposed-changes>